

Delegated Decision Report

Decision below £250k



| | |
|---|---|
| Subject: | Proposed Revocation of Prohibition of Waiting At Any Time Restriction - Penmore Close, Shaw |
| Decision maker: Senior Officer | Nasir Dad, Director of Environment |
| Decision maker: Cabinet Member | Councillor C Goodwin, Portfolio Holder, Don't Trash Oldham |
| Decision date: | 15 May 2025 |
| Report author: | Mark Woodhead, Engineer |
| Ward (s): | Shaw |

Reason for decision

This report seeks delegated approval for the revocation of a section of 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction on Penmore Close, Shaw, in line with the schedule and drawing at the end of this report.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the revocation is supported and progressed in accordance with legal procedures, in accordance with the drawings and schedules at the end of this report.

Background

Officers have received complaints from residents of Penmore Close and Cunliffe Drive regarding inconsiderate parking by motorists who do reside in the area. Officers have visited the area and whilst all motorists are entitled to park within the highway, the existing restrictions on Penmore Close could be contributing to the issues raised by residents.

Reducing the extent of the parking restrictions will provide additional parking spaces to alleviate the need to park in front of resident's properties and provide some mitigation to address the concerns raised.

Alternative option to be considered

Option 1 – Accept the proposal.

Option 2 - Do not accept the proposal and accept that on street parking is not permitted along the extents of the existing restrictions.

Consultation

If this proposal is supported, the changes to the parking restrictions will be advertised on site and residents within the area provided with a plan of the proposed changes.

The Ward Members have been consulted and Councillor H Sykes supports this proposal stating that the proposed changes will make things easier for local residents

G.M.P. View - The Chief Constable has been consulted and has no objection to this proposal.

T.f.G.M. View - The Director General has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.

G.M. Fire Service View - The County Fire Officer has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.

N.W. Ambulance Service View - The County Ambulance Officer has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.

Risks

Objections are received during the advertisement period and the changes are supported by Highway Regulation Committee.

Implications

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|----------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-----|--------------|--------------|
| Financial | <p>The cost of introducing the Order is show below:-</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>£</td></tr><tr><td>Advertisement of Order</td><td>1,000</td></tr><tr><td>Removal of Road Markings</td><td>500</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>1,500</td></tr></table> <p>The advertising & road markings expenditure of £1,500 will be funded from the 2025/26 Highways TRO & road markings budgets.</p> <p>(John Edisbury)</p> | | £ | Advertisement of Order | 1,000 | Removal of Road Markings | 500 | TOTAL | 1,500 |
| | £ | | | | | | | | |
| Advertisement of Order | 1,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Removal of Road Markings | 500 | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 1,500 | | | | | | | | |
| Legal | <p>The Council must be satisfied that it is expedient to make the Traffic Regulation Order in order to avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising, or for preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or for facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic, including pedestrians, or for preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property or for preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs</p> <p>In addition to the above, under section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, it shall be the duty of the Council so to exercise the functions conferred on them by the Act as to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. Regard</p> | | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| | must also be had to the desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises, the effect on the amenities of any locality affected and the importance of regulating and restricting the use of roads by heavy commercial vehicles so as to preserve or improve the amenities of the areas through which the roads run, the strategy produced under section 80 Environmental Protection Act 1990 (the national air quality strategy), the importance of facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and of securing the safety and convenience of persons using or desiring to use such vehicles and any other matters appearing to the Council to be relevant. (A Evans) |
| Equality impact including implications for Children and Young People | None |

Schedule 1

Drawing 47/1686/1

Delete from the Oldham Borough Council (Crompton Area) Consolidation Order 2003

Part I Schedule 1 Prohibition of Waiting

| Item No. | Description | Duration | Exemptions | No Loading |
|----------|---|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| CR7 | Penmore Close (south side) From its junction with Grains Road for a distance of 64 metres in a westerly direction | At any time | A, B1, B2, B3, B4, C, F, J, K4 | |

Add to the Oldham Borough Council (Crompton Area) Consolidation Order 2003


Part I Schedule 1 Prohibition of Waiting

| Item No. | Description | Duration | Exemptions | No Loading |
|----------|---|----------|------------|------------|
| CR7 | Penmore Close (south side) From its junction with Grains Road for a distance of 28 metres in a westerly direction | | | |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Has the relevant Legal Officer confirmed that the recommendations within this report are lawful and comply with the Council's Constitution? | Yes |
| Has the relevant Finance Officer confirmed that any expenditure referred to within this report is consistent with the Council's budget? | Yes |
| Are any of the recommendations within this report contrary to the Policy Framework of the Council? | No |

There are no background papers for this report

| | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Report author sign-off | Mark Woodhead |
| Role | Senior Engineer, Highways |
| Date of sign-off | 15 May 2025 |

| Approval | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Officer approval sign-off |  Nasir Dad |
| Role | Director of Environment |
| Date of sign-off | 15 May 2025 |

